**MINUTES OF MEETING**
**WILDBLUE**
**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The Board of Supervisors of the WildBlue Community Development District held a Public Hearing and Regular Meeting on May 14, 2026 at 10:00 a.m., at the Community Center (Card Room), 18721 WildBlue Blvd., Fort Myers, Florida 33913.

**Present:**

| | |
|---|---|
| John Buchholz | Chair |
| Rick Bennington | Vice Chair |
| Herbert Lanese | Assistant Secretary |
| Denis Bourque | Assistant Secretary |

**Also present:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Chuck Adams | District Manager |
| Wes Haber (via Zoom/phone) | District Counsel |
| Carl Barraco | District Engineer |
| Shane Willis | Operations Manager |
| Steve Sanford (via Zoom/phone) | Bond Counsel |
| Larry Weinstein | Resident |
| Ann DiSaro | Resident |
| Elaine Daly (via Zoom/phone) | Resident |
| Marissa Donovan | Resident |
| John Martin | Resident |
| Adrienne Ross | Resident |
| Gordon Russell | Resident |
| Kathleen O'Connor (via Zoom/phone) | Resident |
| Michelle Hexham | Resident |

The names of all attendees, residents and/or members of the public might not appear in the meeting minutes. If the person did not identify themselves, their name was inaudible or their name did not appear in the meeting notes or on a sign in sheet, the name was not listed.

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Call to Order/Roll Call**

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Supervisors Buchholz, Bennington, Lanese and Bourque were present. Supervisor Baker was absent.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Public Comments: Agenda Items (3 Minutes Per Speaker)**

Mr. Willis explained the protocols for public comments.

Mr. Buchholz thanked all meeting attendees and stated the focus will be on the financing to fund the repairs and movement to restore the shoreline. He opened public comments. Mr. Adams stated the focus here should be on everything except the public hearing and the bonds, as the official public hearing will have the public comments related to the bonds.

Resident Larry Weinstein asked for an update on the mediation with Lennar.

Mr. Adams stated there is a second public comments section later in the meeting where comments can be made about non-agenda items.

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Public Hearing to Consider the Adoption of an Assessment Roll and the Imposition of Special Assessments Relating to the Financing and Securing of Certain Public Improvements**

**A. Affidavit/Proof of Publication**

**B. Mailed Notice to Property Owner(s)**

- **Written Objection from 19138 WildBlue Blvd.**

**C. Supplement #1 to the Master Engineer's Report (for informational purposes)**

**D. Second Supplemental Special Assessment Methodology Report (for informational purposes)**

Mr. Adams stated the information in the Methodology Report relates to the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) which coincides with the information in the Engineer's Report and identifies other costs associated with the issuance of the bonds, such as the debt service reserve, cost of issuance, capitalized interest period and Underwriter's discount costs; resulting in the par amount of bonds that is being issued. He stated there were a few adjustments to the Assessment Methodology Report in between meetings. The noticing levels were adjusted back as the bonds were being sized by the Underwriter. The factors that caused the adjustments in the Assessment Methodology included the impact on the economy due to the war and the interest rates. Mr. Adams reviewed the Appendix Tables and noted the following:

➢ The total number of units is 673.

➢ The total anticipated bond proceeds decreased from $19,300,000 to $17,300,000.

➢ The debt service reserve fund reduced from 100% of max annual debt service to 10% of max annual debt service and the actual amount went from $1.4 million to $121,012.

➢ The capitalized interest fund decreased from $1.1 million to $488,725.

- The Underwriter's Discount decreased from $386,000 to $346,000.
- The cost of issuance decreased from $250,000 to $243,563.
- The average coupon rate decreased from 6% to 5.65%.
- The capitalized interest period decreased from 12 months to six months.

Regarding the mailed notice, Mr. Adams stated the letter outlines the assessment currently on the individual properties versus the one being contemplated. He discussed benefit allocation, ERU weight factors, the 4% early pay discount, how homeowners can prepay their principal amount of the Series 2019 bonds and/or Series 2026 bonds, and the current litigation.

**Mr. Buchholz opened the Public Hearing.**

- **Hear testimony from the affected property owners as to the propriety and advisability of making the improvements and funding them with special assessments on the property.**

Mr. Adams responded to questions regarding the status of the ongoing lawsuit, if Staff can guarantee that any settlement funds will be put towards the bond, if the planned additional phases of the CDD could result in costly wall renovations that might significantly increase assessments, if Phases 2 and 3 could be deferred until after the settlement amount is known, if the proceeds from Lennar will be earmarked only for the wall claim, and homeowner reimbursement risks associated with bond prepayments.

Resident Elaine Daly asked why the Board does not have a Resolution stating that any settlement proceeds will be applied solely to wall restoration and whether that is in the form of paying down the $17 million bond issuance or future phases. Mr. Sanford stated that provision is in the documents that are going to be approved by the Board. The Supplemental Trust Indenture stipulates that those litigation proceeds can be used either for wall restoration or to pay down bonds; it is worded so that the Board can choose between those two options and nothing else. Once the bonds are issued, it is a contract and cannot be changed. Asked if the existing Series 2019 bonds can be paid before June 15, 2029, Mr. Sanford stated that is called an optional redemption. There is another type of redemption with no time constraint of prepayments from litigation proceeds. So, if a homeowner wishes to pay off their debt service assessments, they can do so at any time or, if the litigation proceeds come in and the Board decides to reduce the bond debt rather than apply the funds to wall restoration, that can be done at any time as well.

Resident John Martin asked why the CDD would move forward with Phases 2 and 3 without a resident vote, especially if there is no imminent danger of further damage occurring. Mr. Adams stated the general vote is actually when residents voted in the Board Members; it is similar to a City or a County government and there is no referendum or public vote on every project.

Mr. Buchholz stated residents have been consistently informed that the wall restoration costs will range between $15 million to $50 million; the current project costs are way under the higher ranges. For the past two years, two meetings and a shade session were scheduled each month to resolve this matter as efficiently as possible. The Board and Staff welcomes and considers public comments and is doing their best to protect the CDD for the coming years and reduce costs. Mr. Martin stated he is a Contractor/Engineer and voiced his opinion that the Board is doing way more than is necessary. Mr. Buchholz urged Mr. Martin to confer with the Marine Engineers hired for this project and express his opinions.

A resident thanked the Board for their hard work and expressed their belief that it is important and incumbent on the Board to indicate what the potential exposure is in Phases 1, 2 and 3. He does not understand how assessments can be levied in portions of the CDD that have not been impacted and he has an issue with the way the assessments have been generated. He asked if prepayments on Phase 1 can be made in installments. Mr. Adams stated homeowners can prepay in full or in part at any time.

Resident Adrienne Ross voiced her belief that none of the Board Members were elected by residents of the CDD.

Mr. Buchholz and Mr. Adams responded to questions regarding how many Engineer's Reports were secured for the wall, the engineering firms that were considered, how much the reports cost, why the current Engineers were chosen and why a contractor was not hired on a combined bid basis.

Mr. Bennington stated the Board has not hired any of the engineers and the attorneys, the engineering firms and every professional that the Board is working with was hired by Lennar. The only thing the Board has done in terms of the remediation project is the bidding process, following the law and awarding the bid.

Resident Ann Masaro stated her home is on the 102 lot and her combined assessments will be about $6,000. She asked if everyone knows that these amounts do not reflect the operating expenses.

Ms. Daly stated Ben Griffin's written objection, that is in the agenda, asks for all of his questions to be answered on the record and she believes that the Board has not done that.

Mr. Adams stated Mr. Griffin's questions will be incorporated into the record and, since he is not in attendance, Staff will send a written response to his questions and add it to the record as well.

Ms. Daly voiced her belief that Mr. Buchholz and Mr. Bennington voted to involve the CDD in the litigation and asked why it was not specified that the increase in expenses omitted the O&M expenses. Mr. Adams stated that it was a specific notice for debt service assessments, not O&M assessments.

A resident voiced his opinion that, assuming three bonds must be issued, it would be prudent to apprise homeowners of the financial impact from assessments and debt service in terms of an annual cost, as that might impact their ability and/or willingness to continue living in the CDD. Mr. Adams stated the Engineer's Report includes an order of magnitude pricing for Phases 2 and 3 so current and future homeowners can understand the full magnitude of the potential future expenses.

Mr. Weinstein asked who will pay for the part of the wall along the Amenity Center that is shared by everyone. Mr. Bennington stated it is CDD property.

Resident Kathleen O'Connor stated it is difficult to hear the comments of residents attending via telephone and asked if they can restate their names when subsequently speaking and spell their names.

**Mr. Adams closed the public hearing.**

- **Thereafter, the governing authority shall meet as an equalizing board to hear any and all complaints as to the special assessments on a basis of justice and right.**

The Board, sitting as the Equalizing Board, made no changes.

**E. Consideration of Resolution 2026-07, Authorizing District Projects for Construction and/or Acquisition of Infrastructure Improvements; Equalizing, Approving, Confirming, and Levying Special Assessments on Property Specially Benefited by Such Projects to Pay the Cost Thereof; Providing for the Payment and the Collection of Such Special Assessments By the Methods Provided for By Chapter 170, 190 and 197, Florida Statutes; Confirming the District's Intention to Issue Special Assessment Bonds; Making Provisions for Transfers of Real Property to Homeowners Associations, Property Owners Association and/or Governmental Entities; Providing for the Recording of an Assessment Notice; Providing for Severability, Conflicts and an Effective Date**

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2026-07.

| |
|---|
| **On MOTION by Mr. Buchholz and seconded by Mr. Bourque, with all in favor, Resolution 2026-07, Authorizing District Projects for Construction and/or Acquisition of Infrastructure Improvements; Equalizing, Approving, Confirming, and Levying Special Assessments on Property Specially Benefited by Such Projects to Pay the Cost Thereof; Providing for the Payment and the Collection of Such Special Assessments By the Methods Provided for By Chapter 170, 190 and 197, Florida Statutes; Confirming the District's Intention to Issue Special Assessment Bonds; Making Provisions for Transfers of Real Property to Homeowners Associations, Property Owners Association and/or Governmental Entities; Providing for the Recording of an Assessment Notice; Providing for Severability, Conflicts and an Effective Date, was adopted.** |

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Consideration of Resolution 2026-08, Authorizing the Issuance of Not Exceeding $19,000,000 WildBlue Community Development District, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2026 (The "Bonds") to Finance Certain Public Infrastructure Within the District; Determining the Need for a Negotiated Limited Offering of the Bonds and Providing for a Delegated Award of Such Bonds; Appointing the Underwriter for the Limited Offering of the Bonds; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Execution and Delivery of a Bond Purchase Contract With Respect to the Bonds; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Execution and Delivery of a Second Supplemental Trust Indenture Governing the Bonds; Authorizing the Application of That Certain Master Trust Indebtedness Dated as of May 1, 2019 to the Bonds; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Distribution of a Preliminary Limited Offering Memorandum; Approving the Execution and Delivery of a Final Limited Offering Memorandum; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Execution of a Continuing Disclosure Agreement, and Appointing a Dissemination Agent; Approving the Application of Bond Proceeds; Authorizing Certain Modifications to the Assessment Methodology Report and Engineer's Report; Making Certain Declarations;**

**Providing for the Registration of the Bonds Pursuant to the DTC Book-Entry Only System; Authorizing the Proper Officials to Do All Things Deemed Necessary in Connection with the Issuance, Sale and Delivery of the Bonds; and Providing for Severability, Conflicts and an Effective Date**

Mr. Sanford presented Resolution 2026-08, also known as the "Delegation Resolution" which accomplishes the following:

➢ Sets forth the parameters under which the Chair or Vice Chair is authorized to execute a Bond Purchase Contract necessary to market the bonds, without the need to call a special meeting.

➢ Authorizes the issuance of a principal amount of bonds to finance all or a portion of Phase 1 in the amount of $19,000,000.

➢ Approves the forms of exhibits including the Bond Purchase Contract, Preliminary Limited Offering Memorandum, Continuing Disclosure Agreement, and Second Supplemental Trust Indenture, all in substantial final form. The documents will be finalized once the bonds are sold.

➢ Authorizes revisions to the Engineer's and Methodology Reports in connection with the marketing of the bonds, without the need to call a special meeting.

Mr. Sanford stated this transaction is slightly different than the typical special assessment bonds in two ways. First, the bonds are on parity with the outstanding 2019 bonds, which is how the bonds will be marketed. Secondly, the Board has the option of using any litigation proceeds received during the term of these bonds for the restoration work or to pay down the bonds.

**On MOTION by Mr. Buchholz and seconded by Mr. Bourque, with all in favor, Resolution 2026-08, Authorizing the Issuance of Not Exceeding $19,000,000 WildBlue Community Development District, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2026 (The "Bonds") to Finance Certain Public Infrastructure Within the District; Determining the Need for a Negotiated Limited Offering of the Bonds and Providing for a Delegated Award of Such Bonds; Appointing the Underwriter for the Limited Offering of the Bonds; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Execution and Delivery of a Bond Purchase Contract With Respect to the Bonds; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Execution and Delivery of a Second Supplemental Trust Indenture Governing the Bonds; Authorizing the Application of That Certain Master Trust Indebtedness Dated as of May 1, 2019 to the Bonds; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Distribution of a Preliminary Limited Offering Memorandum; Approving the Execution and Delivery of a Final Limited Offering Memorandum; Approving the Form of and Authorizing the Execution of a Continuing Disclosure Agreement, and Appointing a Dissemination Agent;**

**Approving the Application of Bond Proceeds; Authorizing Certain Modifications to the Assessment Methodology Report and Engineer's Report; Making Certain Declarations; Providing for the Registration of the Bonds Pursuant to the DTC Book-Entry Only System; Authorizing the Proper Officials to Do All Things Deemed Necessary in Connection with the Issuance, Sale and Delivery of the Bonds; and Providing for Severability, Conflicts and an Effective Date, was adopted.**

**Mr. Sanford left the call.**

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Updates**

**A. Lake Bank Erosion Repair Project**

It was noted that this project has a timing issue with the wet season and will commence in the fall when appropriate conditions allow.

**B. Retaining Wall Restoration**

Mr. Barraco stated the last permit that the County required the CDD to obtain was issued last week. The remaining permit must be obtained by the contractor, who applied for an official notice to proceed from the County. Once received, the contractor will alert the Board and Staff and commence the repair work. Mr. Buchholz stated all the work that is currently being done is prep work and does not require a permit.

Discussion ensued regarding the paving obligation from Lennar for Phases 2 and 3, patching holes on Blue Sapphire, divits in the asphalt, status of the mattress work, and movement of the boats and docks.

**C. Fuel Station Site**

Mr. Buchholz stated a settlement was reached as a result of the mediation. District Counsel will provide an update at the next shade meeting and discuss what the CDD will receive.

Mr. Haber stated Mr. Bennington was the Board representative at the mediation. Mr. Bennington believes the present offer is a good one. Settlement details will be formally communicated to the Board, as a collective, at the next Shade Session. At which time, the Board can ask questions and approve the settlement if it so chooses. The settlement is not official until the Board votes on it.

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Acceptance of Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2026**

Mr. Adams stated that there is approximately $100,000 in the Series 2019 Capital Projects fund. He asked Mr. Baracco to evaluate the project and deem it complete, if appropriate. Staff will then close the account and transfer the funds to the prepayment account, reducing the principal.

The financials were accepted.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Approval of Minutes**

**A. March 19, 2026 Special Meeting and Attorney-Client Session**

The following changes were made by Ms. O'Connor:

Line 29 and throughout: Change "Richenbaugh" to "Reichenbach"

Lines 30 and 317: Insert "Moseley" after "Alonzo"

Line 32 and throughout: Change "Houston" to "Huston"

Line 49: Delete "sections" and insert a period after "comments" and change "Statues" to "Statutes"

Line 80: Change "sparce" to "sparse" and "their bid was" to "their stone bid cost was"

Line 86: Insert "the Board discussed additional deficiencies in the bid including the fact that the contractor never did a project of this magnitude, and even failed to file the required protest bond" after "bidder"

Lines 89 and 255: Change "retainment wall" to "retaining wall"

Line 101: Change "that" to "the"

Line 116: Change "advise" to "advice"

Line 239: Change "Judgement" to "Judgment"

Line 240: Change "summary; there has been no ruling." to "a ruling."

Line 330: Change "number of homes" to "ERU formula"

Line 334: Change "travels" to "runs"

**On MOTION by Mr. Buchholz and seconded by Mr. Bourque, with all in favor, the March 19, 2026 Special Meeting and Attorney-Client Session, as amended, were approved.**

**B. April 2, 2026 Regular Meeting**

The following changes were made by Ms. O'Connor:

Line 50: Change "Saphire" to "Sapphire"

Line 56: Change "principle" to "principal"

Line 82: Change “resident’s” to “resident”

Line 86: Change “rights” to “right”

Line 94: Change “Board” to “CDD”

Line 109: Change “which Matt used” to “which was used”

Line 113: Change “pool” to “pond”

Line 114: Change “contractors” to “contractor”

Line 176: Delete the period after “CDD”

Line 328: Change “Kolte” to “Pulte”

Line 356” Change “intend” to “intends”

**On MOTION by Mr. Buchholz and seconded by Mr. Bourque, with all in favor, the April 2, 2026 Regular Meeting Minutes, as amended, were approved.**

Mr. Buchholz asked if the minutes can be received sooner so that they can be corrected by Mr. Bourque and Ms. O’Connor in advance of the meeting. Mr. Haber stated the edits could be reviewed prior to the meeting, but the final version must be approved publicly. Mr. Adams suggested Mr. Bourque and Ms. O’Connor coordinate with the Administrative team after the drafts have been submitted.

**On MOTION by Mr. Buchholz and seconded by Mr. Bourque, with all in favor, authorizing the Administration Department to include Mr. Bourque and Ms. O’Connor on the draft agenda circulation email, was approved.**

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Staff Reports**

**A. District Counsel: Kutak Rock LLP**

**B. District Engineer: Barraco and Associates, Inc.**

There were no District Counsel or District Engineer reports.

**C. District Manager: Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC**

- **1,031 Registered Voters as of April 15, 2026**
- **UPCOMING MEETINGS**
  - ➢ **May 21, 2026 at 10:00 AM [Special Meeting and Attorney-Client Session]**

Management to confirm with the Court Reporter.

  - ➢ **June 4, 2026 at 10:00 AM [Regular Meeting – Presentation of FY2027 Proposed Budget]**
  - o **QUORUM CHECK**

- **Performance Measures/Standards & Annual Reporting Form (for informational purposes)**

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Board Members' Comments/Requests**

Mr. Adams asked Mr. Haber to apprise the Board, on the record, of a recent Staff conversation. Mr. Haber noted that at next meeting or the June meeting, depending upon the timing of the actual issuance of the bonds, Staff will present a Resolution approving a Supplemental Assessment Methodology Report which will specify the exact amount of the assessments that will be levied and imposed against each lot, depending on the size based on the ERU weighting, for the Board to consider. Management will monitor how the issuance is going to determine if updates should be added to the next agenda, be it in a shade session or regular meeting.

Mr. Haber stated it has come to his attention that there is communication outside of Board meetings indicating that the lawsuit regarding the front entry property, not the one related to the retaining wall, is a lawsuit that was filed for the benefit of only certain homes in the CDD that are receiving benefits and that the Supervisors who live in those homes are driving that lawsuit. Mr. Haber stated the lawsuit was approved by the Board for the functionality of the stormwater system, which affects the entire CDD; it was not filed for the benefit of any particular property/property owner.

Mr. Bennington stated, at a recent Blue Lake meeting, it was noted that a Lee County Sheriff will be attending meetings due to threats against that Board. He suggested the CDD Board consider doing the same thing because the Board Chair recently received a direct threat from a resident, which should not be ignored in these uncertain times. Mr. Buchholz stated the email was forwarded to District Counsel and is being investigated; the animosity must be toned down as the Board would like to avoid having a Sheriff attend CDD meetings.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Public Comments Agenda Items (3 Minutes Per Speaker)**

A resident asked if next week's meeting is when the Board will disclose the insurance information and vote on the settlement offer. Mr. Adams stated that is what is anticipated.

Resident Gordon Russell discussed the Blue Sapphire Drive pothole repairs. He thinks if a bicyclist hits one of the potholes while yielding to a vehicle, he or she will sustain a grave injury.

Mr. Weinstein asked why the wall mediation has not been discussed. Mr. Bennington stated a new judge was assigned to the case and the Board is awaiting the scheduling of a hearing; discovery is ongoing and the schedules of all the defendants and plaintiffs are being obtained. The hearing is anticipated to be scheduled in August or September 2026.

Resident Doreen Shaheen stated she is on the Master Board. She reported the potholes in the Blue Sapphire area on April 30, 2026 and, upon following up on the repairs, learned that the CDD is responsible, not Lennar. Mr. Bennington will follow up with Lennar.

Ms. O'Connor thanked the Board for posting a letter on the CDD website regarding the commercial fuel site from Lewis, Longman and Walker P.A. She asked for confirmation that the law firm was hired by the CDD in August 2024 to challenge a permit considered by a Lennar-controlled Board. She stated this was driven by residents who had an interest in the commercial site. Asked when the bonds will be issued, Mr. Adams stated within the next two to three weeks.

Resident Michelle Hexham stated the back gate is open and that contractor fobs were recently installed in nearby communities. She voiced her opinion that the CDD should not be lowering its safety standards and asked why contractor fobs were not considered for the current construction projects.

Discussion ensued regarding back gate security matters, teenagers accessing the CDD, an upcoming Master HOA meeting, QR codes, cost-sharing with the Master HOA to engage a security guard for the back gate, issues with fobs being copied, construction trucks damaging the gates, and insurance concerns.

Resident Marissa Donovan asked if the CDD ever obtained public information about how much of a refund will be received for that section of paving, where the funds will be held, and if the information was posted. Mr. Buchholz stated the Board has not received the final signatures because that process was rushed in one week. The final signature from Lennar for the bonds is still pending but an amount was received. Asked if the amount can be divulged at the next meeting so homeowners will know, Mr. Adams stated it was a few hundred thousand dollars to complete the project.

A resident extended her gratitude to the Board for the time they have invested in improving the CDD and stated she was sorry that certain residents are confrontational and unappreciative of the Board's efforts. She wishes the residents would come together and not be so divided. Mr. Buchholz thanked the resident for her support.

Ms. Daly asked if Terry Kurth was paid the $90,000 settlement and if he dismissed his lawsuit against the CDD. Mr. Adams stated he has not been paid by the CDD and doubted that the lawsuit was dismissed. Ms. Daly asked why the lake banks have not been restored since this is a critical part of the CDD's water quality system. Mr. Adams stated the funds for the restoration of the stormwater ponds is secured within the bond issuance that was approved earlier in the meeting. Unfortunately, the work cannot commence until after the rainy season.

Ms. Daly asked Mr. Adams to reconcile a statement he made at the January 15, 2026 meeting. She read the following:

"Mr. Adams stated the goal is to spend what it takes to ensure that those property owners adjacent to the commercial site are not impacted by the quantity and quality from the runoff coming from the commercial site."

Mr. Adams stated Mr. Haber corrected and re-stated that comment earlier in the meeting, on the record. The statement appeared on Facebook.

Discussion ensued regarding the clarified statement from the January 15, 2026 meeting minutes, accuracy of a statement made in the April 3, 2025 meeting minutes regarding the litigation, administrative hearing in circuit court, easement rights, Tax Collector, short sales and foreclosure actions.

Ms. O'Connor restated her earlier comment that the law firm representing the CDD in the commercial litigation is the same law firm that has been working for the CDD since August 2024 to challenge the permit, and it was a Lennar-controlled Board. There was a time when that law firm represented the CDD in issues against the commercial site before any residents served on the Board. Mr. Adams stated the Board agrees with that statement as well.

Ms. Donovan asked if a silt fence will be installed on the preserve side of the lake. Mr. Adams stated that was never discussed. Asked if residents are responsible for installing fencing to help preserve their land, Mr. Adams stated residents can safeguard their properties, and a recommended relief, in terms of the runoff, is posted on the CDD website.

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Adjournment**

| |
|---|
| **On MOTION by Mr. Buchholz and seconded by Mr. Bourque, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 12:35 p.m.** |

___________________________________ ___________________________________

Secretary/Assistant Secretary Chair/Vice Chair